A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 62 No. 35 11th September 2026

IN THIS ISSUE

The New Normal - beware the phrase By Neville Archibald

"Lies, damned lies and statistics", is not just an interesting quote. We are all aware of people (and politicians) who use statistics to encourage a particular view. We all see the smaller uses of these - bolstering grant applications. How do you get the best bang for your buck? You exaggerate or point out the worst case scenario! You Pad your quote! I don't believe for a minute that anyone reading this is not aware that this goes on.

Enter the New Normal – exaggerations! Just because it is a government department or the "industry of Science" (for that is largely what science is today, an industry) tells us something, does not mean they tell the whole truth. Each of these also 'pad' their facts and figures. It gives a certain urgency to what they say is needed legislation or research.

Who may I suggest is responsible for this?

Once again we look into who and what really runs organisations now, and for what reason. In business or in government the defining factor seems to be money; for profit or for conforming to a budget. Money is the key, it is all based on the final ledger entry! It is handled by executives whose job is to maximise profit or maximise the grant or government allocation. Rarely do these 'managers' understand the true science behind any research being done, or any service being provided. Management and middle management exist in bureaucracies (of both government and industry) to up-sell the ideas being investigated - to get ahead. Each manager must be effective, must advance his or her department, to move upward in the corporate world (or the government). Many are concerned to keep within specified ideals, but I would suggest there are many more who don't. I have seen any number of examples of this, where cheapest or worst case predictions are continually used to justify direction. In the food industry in particular, the biggest corporations seem to have favoured cost over nutrition. Take a look at ingredients lists on processed foods, or talk to those working inside the industry. Again not all are bad, but the pressure of monetary performance is a huge one, and does make for compromises. Other industries are no different, Government is no different.

Take this compromise, this sentiment, to its logical end, and there will be many such groups out there, not necessarily feathering their own nests, but ensuring survival in a cut throat world. Job advancement depends on it. A bigger wage depends on it. Think of these things when you next consider the figures used in the roll out of net zero. When you listen to dire warnings regarding 'new normal flooding events' despite Flannery's insistence that there will not be enough rain to fill the dams we have. When you hear of bushfire risks and extreme measures to combat the same in the coming season. Look behind these claims, to the money involvement, to the claims being made to justify actions.

The locking up the forests, the lack of controlled burns (which passes for management).

The keeping of water in excess of capacity because someone 'owns' it. Taking over the buffer volume that should be left available for flooding rains.

The latest allegation in the game of net zero. Opposition shadow minister, Tehan, has taken energy minister Chris Bowen to task over this. Citing nearly 100 whistle-blowers who are coming forward about fraud in the system and safety concerns in the eagerness to roll out this net zero infrastructure. A two billion dollar allocation has gone to seven billion, the clean energy regulator has had to fund this blow-out! Is this out of the cost of oversight of workmanship? At the cost of true regulation?Are there perhaps, new Fly By Night opportunists taking advantage, like the pink batt scandal of the Rudd/Gillard government? These are entirely appropriate questions, especially when there are so many whistle-blowers!
In each case we are presented with claims that are rarely disputed. In fact the analysis after the events seem to be mostly on how we can react better or recover quicker. Little focus seems to be on why the events occurred in the first place.
In each case, money, or the lack of it, plays a role; as does our reluctant acceptance of what we are being told.

https://www.news24.com.au/politics/australian-politics/tehan-unloads-on-bowen-fraud-and-misconduct-allegations-in-the-battery-program/video/c364b455e5b771a35c92dd92178a5333

This brings us to the issue we must recognise; that of the unintentional consequences of our actions. We might see or hear of these things occurring, but we do not recognise that we actually enable them in some cases by the mere fact of not calling it out. By staying silent, we virtually condone them. To say that it's 'just politics', or that 'we must play the game to get along', is perpetuating the destruction these manipulations cause. It is not just physical/financial destruction; but it is at the very basis of our social cohesion and social credit of the nation (the understanding we have with our fellows that smooths out our interactions – trust).

This brings me to something others have realised for a long time. Something that is again raising it's head in social media. In the 70s and 80s there were those who warned of Marxism in schools and universities, the techniques of the Fabian socialists, gradualism began to take over. Entering into crucial policies and changing our fundamental perceptions of right and wrong. The culture of grievance began, and the denial of our improvement over the past. We have become a society that focuses on our ills only and demonises our basic culture's history. We began to create, not a society that will flourish, but one that places distrust, anger and intolerance above the possibility of what might lie ahead. We are seeing polarisation of factions occurring in every sphere of debate. Again, we are seeing this! It is not simply accidental, or an awakening of some long-repressed guilt complex! It is an intentional disruption to our society by vested interests! These are the same interests that are pushing for the complete control of our system at the moment.

A community divided is to the advantage of an occupying force. To consider this as coincidental is to underestimate the potential damage, turning now into actual damage.

The need for us all to take a long hard look at what we react to and how we treat others is real. The same need to assess those similar reactions in others is also important. Guilt tripping other segments of society, no matter who they are, for the sins of their ancestors, denies the very progress that we have made. You cannot move from the past if you cannot let it go. Many of these guilt trips being taken, focus only on one or two facts, and ignore the multitude of benefits that came out of the associations we made with others. Conflict is not always direct physical contact; lies, damned lies and statistics can be just as damaging to a society in the long run, especially when we do not stand up to them!

Misinformation bill, one way or another!

The newest bill to give rise to debate, is the digital duty of care bill (a safe online environment).

Posed as looking after our on-line safety, it is a bit like the ASIO bill recently passed, in that it gives wide ranging powers, that can be interpreted and altered without sufficient parliamentary oversight (my belief).

Listening to and reading the shown Draft bill, by the Institute of Pubic Affairs

commentators, Senior Fellow Dr Andrew Bushnell and Research Fellow Margaret Chambers, only proves to me that we are being saved from anything the government wishes to object to. In other words, to use a borrowed term, not just algorithm-ed out but "albo-rithmed out". Any thing Albo doesn't like (or rather his e-safety commissioner and minister) can be deemed 'unsafe' for our poor little brains to comprehend. When the mis-information/dis-information bill was upset, watered down and then dropped, I knew a way would be found to approach it from a different direction. Free speech will be limited by the passing of this 'safety bill'. The link below should be watched by all who have even the slightest interest. Those who think that Data Centres are good for us, need to study all of the new legislation around digital compliance and then ask themselves just how intrusive it could be if these decisions are made by someone you no longer trust?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RPzzZvOSEjY

Digital Currency

https://www.rba.gov.au/media-releases/2025/mr-25-18.html

> *"Project Acacia is a joint initiative between the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) and the Digital Finance Cooperative Research Centre (DFCRC). This work is also supported by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC), the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA), and the Australian Treasury."*
>
> *"Project Acacia is exploring how different forms of digital money and associated infrastructure could support the development of wholesale tokenised asset markets in Australia."*

Those who do not believe CBDCs (central bank digital currency) are coming need to wake up. This media release, although months old, has seen little media discussion. Our Reserve bank and other financial entities are quietly trialling options.

With websites like:
https://www.regulationtomorrow.com/2025/07/project-acacia-innovations-in-digital-money-and-existing-settlement-infrastructure/

and the heading "Global Regulation Tomorrow".

https://cbdctracker.org/currency/australia-project_acacia

and

https://www.globalgovernmentfinance.com/australia-project-acacia-pilot-use-cases/

I wonder if the "global" aspect and potential is a part of this long term goal. I have been criticised before for being "conspiracy" addicted when mentioning "New World Order", Agenda 2030 or 2050, and heaven help me, talking about WEF plans to "own nothing and be happy".

Yet here we have our own government initiating this leap into CBDC's.
Pilot trials, Global interests, links to Programmable money. The White paper is said to list this last, programmable money, to spending restrictions, expiry dates and automatic deductions.

In our Parliament's own website:

"The future: Central Bank Digital Currencies

Electronic payments rely on complex verification and bank reconciliation systems. To simplify this process, Australia and other countries are exploring a new type of electronic fiat currency called Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC). While not currently intended to replace cash or banking accounts, CBDCs could offer significant benefits through broadly removing the cumbersome necessity for bank-to-bank interactions."

(note the: not currently!)

And under the heading, <u>The future of money</u>, we see this:

"The transition to digital forms of currency raises several challenges and issues in Australia, and around the world. In particular, governments and parliaments will need to consider how best to regulate and control:

- *the phasing out of cash*
- *the potential disruption that private digital currencies may cause, including through their use in criminal activities*
- *the phasing in of CBDCs*
- *the problem of establishing a well-functioning international digital currency."*

Have we given our government a mandate to transition to an "international digital currency"? What about financial sovereignty? Who among the public is even considering this, other than the all powerful banks and economic superpower wannabees?

As for programmable? We had little say when the bigger corporations rolled out direct payment of wages into a bank. We had no say when 'superannuation' became a compulsory saving (and now it looks like little say in how our government allows us to manage it).

If we look at China, or even more deeply into the 'research' into CBDC's we find expiry dates on money, automatic deductions, spending restrictions, all mentioned. Carbon Credit deductions are not so far fetched now are they?
In fact, whatever the current government decides is best for us would be not too far out of this reach.

I for one, have no desire to share my National currencies value with nations who are both unstable or under dictatorial control and virtual slaves. How can this even be being considered in a Nation like ours without serious discussion? ***https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_departments/Parliamentary_Library/Research/Issues_and_Insights/2026/FutureMoney***

As usual, all these things we are being subjected to, link directly to monetary control; free speech restrictions included. Fees accompany nearly all paperwork, fines if the paperwork is not done or wrong. Penalty points are now listed in many pieces of new legislation, meaning the fine can be adjusted with the value of the point without further political debate, or indexed too I suppose. Where this all leads and where it ends up is dependant on our response. A knowledge of where our financial system fails us is needed. Not an encyclopaedic knowledge, but enough to know that there is a correct alternative method of doing things out there. This is of course the promise of Social Credit under the Douglas system. Professor Walter Murdoch, in his essay entitled, 'Give the People Money', says this:

"I have often heard people say that this Major Douglas scheme, in which I believe, is quite impracticable because it is so complicated that no one can understand it. It isn't so bad as that, but it is complicated, and it must be complicated for a very plain reason: that the present money system, which it seeks to modify, is complicated. If you think the present system, under which you and I live, is easily understood, depend upon it you don't understand it.

Most of us have grown up in a world in which it was not urgently necessary that we should understand what money really meant; we took it for granted, in the happy days before circumstances forced us to become economists. I look back with dismay and some shame at the absurdity of my own delusions about money a few years ago.

In a recent article in the "Contemporary Review," the Archbishop of York remarks: "I was taught some political economy at Oxford, including certain propositions about money. What is perfectly plain is that money is not what they told me it was."

Well, I was not taught economics at Oxford or anywhere else; I simply took for granted those propositions about money which everybody around me seemed to be taking for granted. I now know that those propositions were none of them true; that money is not at all what I thought it was; that the banks don't act in the way I imagined they acted, but very far otherwise.

But I have also learned that the intricacy and delicacy of the money machine can be greatly exaggerated, and that when you apply common-sense to it certain simple facts do emerge; facts which explain why the machine has so appallingly broken down, facts which make it plain that no patching will ever set the old machine running again, and that the world will never get out of the slough into *which it has fallen until we have the courage to undertake a reform at least as radical as that which Major Douglas demands."*

Where he talks of the exaggerated explanations, we can be sure that these are much like the lies, damned lies and statistics we started out with. Each new 'fix' proposed, each policy pursued to end this monetary deficiency, never quite does the job, despite the tinkering that continues after-ward. The only real explanation for this failure is that the system itself is faulty and that the lies we are being told about it, suit someones purpose! The premise it is built on, that all money must be created as debt, is one reason, the other is the true nature of the ownership of this money.

Money is the means whereby a nation can successfully share it's common wealth. In practical terms this means it is ours, the Nations – no foreign or international banking concern should wield any power over us.

Get your heads around these two things and you will realise that a Global CBDC is giving it all up to some vague concept of an international body of control. We will never regain our own wealth or independence, if we lose it to something so loosely defined and even less accountable. We cannot now manage to make our elected representatives work for us, it will be even more difficult to assert any type of control over an unelected international body.

The mechanisms of this coming control are being rolled out, Surveillance centres, the digital economy and digital ID are all being pushed as fast as our politicians can. I believe they have seen that we are waking to their 'statistics' and they have limited time to succeed, thus the pressure to quieten down any opposition. We must not remain quiet! Pass this on! ***

Annual Subscription to *'On Target'* $75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)
BSB 105-044
A/c No. 188-040-840
Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.
Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : https://veritasbooks.com.au/

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: https://alor.org/

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.